◇ 英　語

英 8−1〜英 8−5 まで 5 ページあります。

[I] 次の 4 人(Tom, Lucy, Ken, Yuka)の対話形式の英文を読んで下の問いに答えなさい。

Tom:　　Hey, guys, what do you say we go out for dinner tonight? I heard about this new Italian restaurant that just opened downtown, or we can try our favorite sushi place.

Lucy:　　Italian sounds delicious! But, Ken, didn't you mention that you wanted to try the sushi place yesterday?

Ken:　　Oh, right. I want to try the sushi place. But Yuka, what are your thoughts?

Yuka:　　Well, I'm open to either option, to be honest. What do you think, Lucy?

Lucy:　　If Ken's eager to try the sushi place, we could go there, but I'm up for Italian.

Tom:　　(1)It seems like we have a tie. How about we list the pros and cons of both options to help us decide?

Ken:　　That sounds like a fair idea. For the Italian place, it's a new spot, and I've heard great reviews about their pasta. Plus, it's closer to my place.

Yuka:　　But on the other hand, sushi is a healthier option, and we haven't had it in a while. Plus, it's a nice change.

Lucy:　　Also, I love the ambiance at the sushi place. It's so serene.

Tom:　　So, for the Italian place, it's convenient, and the pasta is a hit. But for sushi, it's healthy, and the ambiance is great. Is that it?

Ken:　　Yeah, I think so.

Yuka:　　Let's take a vote then. Italian.

Lucy:　　Italian.

Ken:　　Sushi.

Tom:　　I'm leaning toward Italian, but I would vote for sushi. (2)So, it's 2-2.

Yuka:　　Alright, let's compromise. How about going for Italian tonight, and next week trying the sushi place?

Lucy:　　That works for me.

Ken:　　Yeah, I am fine with that. Italian it is.

Tom:　　Me, too.

問１　下線部(1)を踏まえて、４人の当初の希望について記号で答えなさい。

	当初の希望
Tom	(1)
Lucy	(2)
Ken	(3)
Yuka	(4)

(a)Italian　　　　(b)Sushi　　　　(c)どちらでも良い

問２　下線部（２）を踏まえて、この時点での４人の希望について記号で答えなさい。

	希望
Tom	(1)
Lucy	(2)
Ken	(3)
Yuka	(4)

(a)Italian　　　　(b)Sushi　　　　(c)どちらでも良い

問３　それぞれのレストランの「特徴」について、下の選択肢のうちで当てはまるものをそれぞれ３つ選んで記号で答えなさい。

	特徴
Italian	
Sushi	

(a)新しい場所である　　　(b)食べるのが久しぶりな場所である　　　(c)便利な所にある
(d)雰囲気が良い　　　(e)料理に対する口コミが高評価である　　　(f)より健康的である

問４　最終的に４人がいたった結論はどのようなものか、日本語で簡単に説明しなさい。

[II] 次の英文を読んで、下の問に答えなさい。

With the introduction of accessible new AI systems like ChatGPT, travel will never be the same.

AI has simplified planning, made it easier to discover new experiences, and streamlined the booking process. Instead of slogging through hours of research, users get similar results with a quick conversation with AI.

AI is great for some travel functions, like generating ideas, spotlighting small businesses, and translating languages.

The best uses of AI for travel currently fall largely into the planning and purchasing phase. "All the excitement around booking a trip can quickly become (1)overwhelming when travelers are faced with lots of options that each require research," says Rathi Murthy, the CTO of Expedia Group. "This is what AI can solve in travel. "

For example, GPT-4 can find multiple flights for under $1,500 for five people traveling from five different cities in the U.S. and traveling to Amsterdam in August. GPT can save a lot of research by coordinating budgets and itineraries and searching for the best deals on multiple platforms.

You'll still need to go to booking sites to both book and (2)verify the pricing, which is one reason (A) experts recommend that travelers use AI as a rough outline or starting point—and not rely on it alone.

AI "can collect general information with 70 to 80% accuracy—that's still only a C grade," says Erica Jackowitz, a luxury travel advisor with Roman & Erica.

The current iteration of AI is only as good as the data it's pulling in. As the free ChatGPT platform has a knowledge cutoff which is September 2021, it won't be able to tell you if a hotel, restaurant, bar, or museum has closed, gone out of business, changed its name, or shifted focus after that. You'll also still need to go directly to booking sites to verify prices and book your travel.

Every day, AI is rapidly evolving as more start-ups and companies figure out the best ways to leverage this powerful generative engine to deliver information using natural language. As the system gets more access to the web, learns what users want, and becomes more "intelligent," it will continue to shift travel in new, unexpected, and unique ways.

Because the technology is still so new and changing so fast, it's difficult to say how it will affect travel long term, but according to Ross Borden, who is working for Matador Network, "AI is the technology that's going to bring travel into this amazing renaissance with regard to how we plan, research and ultimately book travel. And then it will revolutionize what we do even as we travel."

[National Geographic(2023) "AI can help you plan your next trip—if you know how to ask."より 改編]
https://www.nationalgeographic.com/travel/article/ai-travel-dos-donts

注：

cutoff：特定の時点や基準を境にして、それ以降の情報を取り扱わない、もしくはそれ以前の情報を排除することを指します。本記事が公開された 2023 年 6 月 5 日時点では、2021 年 9 月がカットオフの基準で、ChatGPT で扱われる情報は基準以前のものに限られていました。その後も ChatGPT は更新され続けており、2023 年 11 月時点ではカットオフの基準は 2023 年 4 月と発表されています。

問1　本文に基づいて、下線部(1)(2)の単語とほぼ同じ意味を表すものをそれぞれ次の 3 つ(a)-(c)から選び、記号で答えなさい。

（1）　overwhelming
　　　(a) difficult　　　　　(b) amazing　　　　　(c) strong

（2）　verify
　　　(a) pay　　　　　(b) lower　　　　　(c) check

問2　本文に基づいて、AI を使った旅行準備として正しいものには T、そうでないものには F を書きなさい。

（1）　AI can save time in narrowing down options in making travel plans.
（2）　AI can book the cheapest airline tickets based on a travel itinerary.
（3）　AI can find the currently most popular restaurants at the travel destination.

問3　本文に基づいて、下線部 A の理由を日本語 70 字〜150 字で説明しなさい。なお、AI および ChatGPT はそれぞれ 1 字として数えること。解答欄の最初の（　　）に使用した字数を記入しなさい。

[III]

英語の授業で「スーパーでレジ袋を売ることを禁止すべきである」（We should ban on selling plastic bags in supermarkets.）という考えについて、意見交換をしました。次の英文を読んで、あとの問いに答えなさい。

Opinion A		Opinion B
I think banning the sale of plastic bags in supermarkets is a good idea. Plastic bags harm the environment, especially marine life, as they take a very long time to break down. By stopping their sale, we can encourage people to use reusable bags or other more eco-friendly alternatives. This will help reduce the pollution caused by plastic bags, and it's a small change that can make a big difference for our planet.		I don't agree with the ban on selling plastic bags in supermarkets. While I understand the environmental concerns, plastic bags are very convenient. Banning them might create inconvenience for shoppers, especially those who forget to bring their reusable bags. Instead of a ban, we should promote recycling and responsible disposal of plastic bags. Education and awareness could be more effective in reducing the harm caused by plastic bags.
Opinion C		Opinion D
I have mixed feelings about banning plastic bags in supermarkets. On one hand, I care about the environment, and I know plastic bags are a problem. But on the other hand, they are quite handy. Maybe we could compromise by charging a small fee for plastic bags, which might encourage people to use them less, but still provide an option for those who need them. Finding a balance between convenience and the environment is important.		Protecting the environment is crucial, so I support the ban on selling plastic bags in supermarkets. Plastic bags are a major source of pollution and harm wildlife. They don't break down easily, so they end up littering our streets and oceans. By banning them, we can reduce this pollution and encourage people to adopt more sustainable practices, like using reusable bags.

問１　それぞれの意見の特徴を、次の３つ(a)-(c)から選び、その後、意見の内容を簡単に日本語でまとめて書きなさい。

　　　　(a)禁止することに賛成する意見（Proponent of the Ban）
　　　　(b)禁止することに反対する意見（Opponent of the Ban）
　　　　(c)中立的な意見（Neutral Opinion）

問２　「スーパーでレジ袋を売ることを禁止すべきである」という考えについて、あなたの意見を理由とともに 80-100 語程度の英語で述べなさい。コンマやピリオドは語数に含めません。解答欄の最初の（　　）に使用した語数を記入しなさい。